

**Margaret D. Edwards
C/O 739 Dalbey Drive
Las Vegas, New Mexico**

August 12, 2003

Ms. Annie White, Associate Commissioner
Office of Public Inquiries
Social Security Administration
6401 Security Boulevard.
Baltimore, Maryland 21235-0001

Dear Ms. White,

This is in response to your letter to me of July 28, 2003, concerning my previous correspondence to Commissioner Barnhart. Your letter has no address or telephone number on it. Why? I asked this same question of the Commissioner but she has never responded to any of my letters to her. Her non-response is addressed in my separate letter to her, dated August 12th, with a copy to you. The effect of non-response to direct notification is acquiescence by Commissioner Barnhart with all that is contained in my June 16th letter and the enclosures.

Notification to anyone is an important consideration, especially to a public officer who has taken an oath. When that notification contains language that specifically states that the recipient should rebut anything contained in that notification with which he disagrees, and he fails to do so, then everything stated in that notification is, by acquiescence from the recipient, true and correct, fully binding, and admissible in court. Further, when the recipient is notified of a crime or criminal activity, especially within the recipient's department, and fails to take action to correct it or to report it, then that person is guilty of misprision of crime, felony and/or treason.

As an example, a witness and I issued sworn testimonies of treason against a former federal district judge because of his own treasonous actions. He failed to rebut the charges of treason. We reported this treason to the chief judge of the Tenth Circuit Federal Court of Appeals and to the Treasurer of the United States, who, pursuant to federal law, has a duty to withhold funds from the court until the judge is removed, the treason corrected and the court restored to competency. I have also publicly discussed this matter over National Public Radio with a Congressman who stated that he will act on this issue since Congress has oversight responsibility of the courts. I have openly discussed this matter over two additional national and international radio programs and received very strong support. The People are fed up with the outright fraud, deceit and lies perpetrated by their so-called "public servants", and many are taking action against those who betray the public trust and commit crimes.

As cited in previous correspondence to Commissioner Barnhart, any public officer who previously has taken an oath and perjures it, invokes the SELF-EXECUTING Sections three and four of the 14th Amendment and vacates his office, including decisions, salary and pension. Again, these sections are self-executing, meaning the

officer, by his own actions, immediately invoked these sections and **automatically** vacates his office. All that we need to do is NOTIFY those in power to remove the offender because the public officer has ALREADY committed the crime. If those we notify fail in their duties to do so, then, by their own actions, they have committed, including, but not limited to, misprision of treason.

I never wrote to Commissioner Barnhart concerning mandatory participation in Social Security. As you know, I asked the Commissioner two questions regarding the voluntary nature of participation in the Social Security program. In addition, I cited federal laws, lawful positions and made other comments to the Commissioner. Your July 28th letter answered those two questions, in writing, and confirmed what the Social Security Administration has previously written on the issue. Thank you. This is the defining principle used by numerous American Citizens against a gigantic fraud that has been perpetuated by the United States *de facto* government for many, many years.

In my previous letters to Commissioner Barnhart and Associate Commissioner Mullen, I asked both of them questions and specified laws and lawful positions which neither of them answered nor addressed. Since neither of them answered questions or addressed laws and points raised, it appears obvious that neither of them has any intent to specifically communicate on those specific issues, but rather, by their responses and non-responses, pursuant to their oaths, evaded and avoided them and deal, instead, with dedicated and diversified misinformation in an apparent attempt to defraud the Public.

Communication cannot occur if the communicant does not directly respond to specific points stated, and certainly does not take place when the recipient attempts to place HIS focus on issues other than those raised. This process is not restricted to Commissioners Barnhart, Mullen and the Social Security Administration, alone. It is extremely common in all aspects of our government today, and at all levels. The American People are becoming increasingly aware, tired and disgusted with this nonsense, or as some would say: "Tap dance doublespeak". As stated in previous correspondence to Barnhart and Mullen, they and government officers at all levels have taken oaths to support and defend the Constitution and our duly enacted laws. As also stated, any statute, which is repugnant to the Constitution is null and void. The test is very simple: Is any action, law, ruling, etc., in compliance with the Constitution or in defiance of it? Pursuant to your oath, determine that position, then act accordingly. The phrase "pursuant to your oath" is not a hollow formality. It has meaning, and liability.

However, I give you and Commissioner Barnhart credit for answering two of my questions, especially in view of the fact that other agencies avoided and evaded everything possible. The quote from your paragraph two is specific: **"The Social Security Act does not require a person to have a Social Security number to live and work in the United States"**. That is the law directly from the Social Security Act and is confirmed, in writing, as it has been many times before, by the Social Security Administration. You and Commissioner Barnhart answered a direct question. It really is not that difficult to do so, but the "tap dance" in avoidance of the direct answer to a direct question can be extremely difficult, misleading and deceitful for the questioner and harmful to the person who so answers because of the fraud inherent in the answer. This quote is the fundamental position taken by numerous American Citizens against the outright fraud that has become the *de facto* government.

You attempt to qualify your statement with carefully worded and qualified phrases that have no lawful impact upon an American Citizen who **chooses** not to participate, which is his RIGHT. It is also his RIGHT to participate and have taxes extracted from his compensation in expectation for some nebulous future benefit, if that is HIS CHOICE. In this Constitutional Republic of America we have choice. This is not Nazi, Germany or Pinochet's Chile. Other conditions to which you refer take place AFTER the Citizen has made his CHOICE, pursuant to your statement listed in the paragraph above. What you may not realize is that a law cannot be expanded or extended beyond the specific scope and meaning of that law; nor can any law be vague or unspecific. Such "laws" are null and void and unenforceable.

You also bring up taxes, which has never been my issue and never previously raised. You answered my main issue. The Supreme Court most likely ruled that the Social Security Act is Constitutional because the Act **does not require** an American Citizen to have a social security number. Choice is inherent. If there were no choice, then the Act would be unconstitutional. Forced participation of Citizens by government into any system, thereby, being made chattel property of the government, against Citizens' will, is totally and absolutely unconstitutional. See the XIIIth and XIVth Amendments to the Constitution and the Bill of Rights, for starters.

You also spoke of "authority" coming from the Internal Revenue Code. The Constitution provides for three separate and distinct branches of government, each with specific, limited powers, which cannot be transferred among or usurped by the other branches. **The IRS has no legislative authority and cannot create law. Neither do, nor can, the courts.** The only branch that has legislative authority is the Congress, and all bills originate in the legislature, meaning that only CONGRESS, and not ANYONE OR ANYTHING else can create laws. When Congress creates laws for the American Citizen, the restriction to laws created is the federal Constitution. All laws created must be in compliance with the Constitution or they are null and void and unenforceable. **Congress has no Lawful authority to delegate any authority and responsibility to anything or anyone else.** As stated above, our nation is a Constitutional Republic, meaning a Nation that exists by rule of WRITTEN LAW, not people, and the Supreme Law is the Constitution, to which all other laws must be subordinate and in compliance. If we, as a People and Nation, allow elected and appointed officers to rule rather than the Supreme Law of this land, we shall not long exist as a "free" People and country, and, then, there will be no choice and no freedom for us and our posterity.

Sincerely,

Margaret D. Edwards
cc: Commissioner Barnhart